Version 2.1

## GL©BAL CIRBON CHECK

# FEE MODULE

### I. INTRODUCTION

This document delivers the fees amount for the current program under Global Carbon Check which includes Carbon Check Program (CCP), Urban Forest Credit Program (UFCP), Energy Credit Program (ECP).

## 2. FEE SCHEDULE

#### 2.1. Account

	With 1 Project	\$200
	With 1+ Project in an Account	\$1500
		•
Annual Accou	unt renewal fees	
	With 1 Project	\$95
	With 1+ Project in an Account	\$ 500
Account Read	tivation	
	With 1 Project	\$250
	With 1+ Project in an Account	\$ 200
Updation of acc	ount from one project to multiple project ac	count will be (Account oper
	oject in an Account ) minus (Account openin	

#### 2.2. Project

	If annual average ex-ante is (CT's)	
Micro Scale	0 - 1000	( Annual average ex-ante CT's ) x 0.10
Small Scale	1001 to 5,000	( Annual average ex-ante CT's ) x 0.10
Medium Scale	5,001 - 10,000	( Annual average ex-ante CT's ) x 0.10
Large Scale	10,001 or More	( Annual average ex-ante CT's ) x 0.10
Minimum Capped	d at \$100 and Maximum Capped at \$ 10,0	000
	1	000
		000 ( Annual average CT's ) x 0.10
Project Renewa	I If annual average CT's is	( Annual average CT's ) x 0.10
Project Renewa Micro Scale	I If annual average CT's is 0 - 1000	( Annual average CT's ) x 0.10 ( Annual average CT's )

#### 2.3. CT's Issuance

Carbon Credit Tokens (CT's) Issuance		
	If annual average CT's is	
Micro Scale	0 - 1000	( Annual average CT's ) x

		0.05 x CT's Price
Small Scale	1001 to 5,000	( Annual average CT's ) x 0.05 x CT's Price
Medium Scale	5,001 - 10,000	( Annual average CT's ) x 0.05 (of Credits)
Large Scale	10,001 or More	( Annual average CT's ) x 0.05 (of Credits)
	10,001 - 50,000	( Annual average CT's ) x 0.045 (of Credits)
	50,001 and Above	( Annual average CT's ) x 0.04 (of Credits)
of the labelled pri	ce per credit by the GCACH Registry	

#### 2.4. Methodology / Tools

New Methodology/Tool Submission		
		\$200
New Methodolog	gy Review and Approval	
	Registry review and approval	\$1500
New Tool Review and Approval		
	Registry review and approval	\$700
Methodology Co	ompensation	
	If annual average CT's under the methodology is	
Micro Scale	0 - 1000	(Annual average CT's) x 0.015 x CT's Price
Small Scale	1001 to 5,000	(Annual average CT's) x 0.015 x CT's Price

Medium Scale	5,001 - 10,000	(Annual average CT's) x 0.012 (of Credits)
Large Scale	10,001 or More	( Annual average CT's) x 0.009 (of Credits)
of the labelled price pe	r credit by the GCACH Registry	

#### 2.5. VVB

VVB Application		
		\$100
VVB Annual Renewal		
		\$75

#### 2.6. Other

Labelling CTs under Article 6		
	If annual average CT's is	Per Project
Micro Scale	0 - 1000	\$250
Small Scale	1001 to 5,000	\$500
Medium Scale	5,001 - 10,000	\$750
Large Scale	10,001 or More	\$1000

NOTE :

- I. Projects are divided into 4 main category as stated below:
  - I.I. Micro Scale A project capable of generating an annual average up to 1,000 Carbon Tokens (CT's/Carbon Credits).

- I.2. Small Scale A project capable of generating an annual average between 1,001 to 5,000 Carbon Tokens (CT's/Carbon Credits).
- I.3. Medium Scale A project capable of generating an annual average between 5,001 to 10,000 Carbon Tokens (CT's/Carbon Credits).
- I.4. Large Scale A project capable of generating an annual average above 10,001 Carbon Tokens (CT's/Carbon Credits).
- 2. Carbon issuance fees calculation are carried out in following manner:

0 - 1000	1,000 - 0 = 1,000	1000 x 0.05
1001 to 5,000	5,000 - 1,001 = 3,999	3,999 x 0.05
5,001 to 10,000	10,000 - 5,001 = 4,999	4,999 x 0.05
10,001 to 48,000	48,000 - 10,001 = 37,999	37,999 x 0.045
		Total

If the registered project generates 48,000 CT's annually then

3. Methodology Compensation calculation are carried out in following manner:

If total issued CT's in a project under following methodology by Global Carbon Check in a year was 48,000 CT's then

0 - 1000	1,000 - 0 = 1,000	1000 x 0.015
1001 to 5,000	5,000 - 1,001 = 3,999	3,999 x 0.015
5,001 to 10,000	10,000 - 5,001 = 4,999	4,999 x 0.012
10,001 to 48,000	48,000 - 10,001 = 37,999	37,999 x 0.009
		Total

4. Compensation under the methodology is eligible only for projects other than the one for which the methodology was developed